

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/544,762		04/07/2000	Shannon Mary Nelson	NORTH-390A/A-2241	9968	
	7590	11/19/2002				
Terry J And			EXAMINER			
Northrop Gru 1840 Century	Park Eas	st		SEDIGHIA	SEDIGHIAN, REZA	
Los Angeles,	CA 926	5//-2199		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2633		
				DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_(1)		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	09/544,762	NELSON ET AL.	
	, a	Examiner	Art Unit	
		M. R. Sedighian	2633	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
Therefore final rejection	PLY FILED 25 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE e, further action by the applicant is required to a ction under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	a in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
Extens fee have be fee under 3 (2) as set fe	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this <i>i</i> no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officing way reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the control of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortlater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Total date on which the petition under 37 Clof extension and the corresponding amonth that the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the main three m	ng date of the final rejection. 'HE FINAL REJECTION. See FR 1.136(a) and the appropria ount of the fee. The appropria o originally set in the final Offic	MPEP ate extension ate extension ace action; or
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. Th	e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🗵	they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	-
(b) 🗆	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplit	fying the
(d) [they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation sheet.			
3. Ap	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. ☐ Ne	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would inceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed ame	endment
	e a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for oplication in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT pla	ace the
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec ised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	wly
	r purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment planation of how the new or amended claims we			an
Th	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
CI	aim(s) allowed:			
CI	aim(s) objected to:			
CI	aim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .			
CI	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. No	te the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 -	
10. O	her:			
			÷	
			`	



A plurality of circuit cards that are mounted to a plurality of circuit card connectors, and an optical pathway that are formed between each of the circuit cards to provide a parallel connection, and the circuit cards that are become intermittently dislodged from electrical connection to backplanes, of claims 1, 8, and 15, require further consideration and/or search.

JASON CHAN
JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600